

## **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



1.9  
769 Bg

## BARBERRY ERADICATION

### QUESTIONS RELATIVE TO THE BARBERRY ERADICATION CAMPAIGN THAT EVERY FIELD MAN SHOULD BE ABLE TO ANSWER FULLY

#### BARBERRIES

##### Identification

1. (a) Draw a sketch of leaves, branches, flower clusters, and berries showing the distinguishing characteristics of Berberis vulgaris.  
(b) Draw a sketch of leaves, branches, flowers and berries showing the distinguishing characteristics of Berberis thunbergii.  
(c) What color are the blossoms on common and Japanese barberries? When do the blossoms appear?  
(d) What shape are the berries of the common barberry?  
The Japanese barberry? How many seeds in each?  
What shape are the seeds?  
(e) Describe the root system of a common barberry.  
(f) What are stolons? How do they function? Of what importance are they in eradication?  
(g) What color is characteristic of the inner bark of the Berberis species? What is the characteristic color of the roots?
2. (a) What is a hybrid barberry? How is a hybrid produced?  
(b) What procedure would you follow if you found what you thought was a hybrid barberry? Would you rely on your own opinion as to its being a hybrid? Would you condemn it? Suggest greenhouse tests that could be made to verify your decision.  
(c) Can the seed of hybrid barberry bushes produce susceptible barberries?
3. (a) How can you determine the age of a barberry bush? A barberry seedling? An escaped barberry bush? A barberry sprout?  
(b) At what age will an escaped bush produce seeds?

##### Distribution

4. (a) Is the distribution of barberries related in any way to the migration of settlers?  
(b) From and to what parts of the United States is barberry distribution most evident?  
(c) Is it traceable to the operations of nursery salesmen or landscape gardeners?  
(d) Is Berberis vulgaris native to North America? To your State?  
(e) Has Berberis canadensis been found in its natural habitat in your State? Has it been found in any of the Barberry Eradication States? Is it susceptible to black stem rust?  
(f) Has any other native Berberis been found in your State?

5. (a) What is the relation of Odostemon (Mahonia) to Berberis? Name species of the genus Odostemon that may be found in the United States. What native Odostemon species grow in your State?
- (b) Does Odostemon rust in your State? What are the known susceptible varieties of Odostemon?

## STEM RUST

### Causal Organism and Hosts

6. (a) What organism causes stem rust? Where does it belong in the classification of fungi? Give in detail the life cycle of stem rust. Make detailed drawings.
- (b) Which barberries are susceptible to black stem rust?
- (c) What scientist first established the proof of the relationship between barberries and stem rust?
7. What organism causes leaf rust? What is its classification? How is it identified in the field?
8. (a) Give characters by which Puccinia graminis may be identified under field conditions.
- (b) Make drawings of the spores which are typical of the different seasons.
9. Is the rust on timothy the same as black stem rust? Is it dependent upon the barberry? Does it go to cereals or other grasses?
10. (a) What is meant by a specialized (physiologic) form?
- (b) How many such forms are there? Name common hosts for each.
- (c) Are these forms subdivided, if so, which has the greater number of subdivisions?
11. (a) Name at least 10 hosts of stem rust.
- (b) Name some common grass hosts with their general distribution.
- (c) What part do native susceptible grass hosts play in the dissemination of stem rust in your State?
12. In what geographic areas does stem rust apparently overwinter in the uredinal stage?
13. (a) Is there any possibility that stem rust may overwinter in the red or uredinal stage in your State?
- (b) If so, where and give in detail the conditions favorable.
- (c) What conditions are extremely unfavorable for urediniospore overwintering?
- (d) What are the probabilities concerning the overwintering of the rust fungus as mycelium within wheat plants where winter wheat is grown?
14. What is the danger of infection from the north or south in your State?

15. (a) By what term is stem-rust infection on the barberry designated?  
 (b) At what time of the year would you expect to find the first aecia or cluster-cups?  
 (c) How soon after the opening of the aecia would you expect to find the first rust pustules on grains and grasses?  
 (d) Could a general epidemic of stem rust develop in any considerable barberry-free area, say a block of three counties, in your State?  
 (e) Does this depend upon locality or weather conditions or both?
16. (a) Does B. vulgaris at any time during the season cease to be a factor in continuing the spread of stem rust?  
 (b) How far has stem rust been definitely traced from barberry bushes in your State?  
 (c) How high in the air have urediniospores been found? Aeciospores?
17. Give the details for making a local epidemiology study. Illustrate by maps.
18. (a) What are the two fundamental methods of control of stem rust?  
 (b) Are both methods being used at the present time? Which method seems the more permanent? Why?  
 (c) What other methods have been tried? How successful were they?
19. (a) What does a farmer mean by the term "rust year"?  
 (b) Outline the weather conditions of a season which would be highly favorable for stem-rust development.  
 (c) Outline the weather conditions of a season which is unfavorable for rust development.  
 (d) Give reasons why stem-rust damage is usually local in some States and widespread in others.
20. (a) How does topography of the land influence the development of stem rust?  
 (b) Would you repeat the survey of an area in which you had found a local epidemic of stem rust, regardless of the fact that you may have just scouted it and found the bushes?

#### SURVEYS FOR THE ERADICATION OF COMMON BARBERRIES

##### Original Survey

21. What is an original survey? A farm-to-farm survey? A city survey?
22. (a) Give a detailed plan of organization and procedure for the beginning of a county survey; the completion of a county survey.  
 (b) What plan should be followed to insure that no properties are missed along county and State lines?
23. Explain in detail how an original farm-to-farm survey is made. Should every house be visited and every property owner interviewed? How carefully and to what extent should the farmstead be inspected?



24. Explain in detail how an original city survey is made. How much of the suburbs of a city should be worked to insure that the rural survey and city survey will not overlap?
25. (a) What are the possibilities for the occurrence of barberries on the premises about an abandoned house or an old building site?  
(b) Should an abandoned road or lane be explored?
26. Suppose fruiting bushes of the common barberry were found in a farmyard - where might you expect to find escaped bushes?
27. How far from the mother bush and to what type of country are barberry seeds carried?  
(a) What are the agencies contributing to the spread of barberries?  
(b) Beneath what kinds of trees might one expect to find barberry seedlings?
28. How long do you think the barberry seeds can remain in or on the ground and still produce seedlings under favorable conditions?
29. Give details for mapping an area of escaped bushes and seedlings.
30. If you found an almost inaccessible, wooded area infested with common barberries, what method would you recommend for finding and destroying these bushes?
31. What are the precautions to be taken when surveying stream banks, fence rows, wooded hillsides, wooded pastures, thickets and swampy ground?
32. How far from fruiting bushes should a careful search for seedlings be made?

#### Second Survey

33. (a) What is a "second survey"? How does it differ from an original survey? Does it include both city and rural survey?  
(b) What explanation would you give for the necessity of a second survey?
34. How may information gained on the original survey aid in the second survey?

#### Resurvey

35. How does resurvey differ from the original survey and second survey?
36. Discuss the resurvey problem.  
(a) What properties should be revisited? How often? When?  
(b) Should resurveyed properties be searched for seedlings? New bushes?  
(c) If seedlings are found how many adjacent properties should be scouted?  
(d) If you discovered and destroyed all visible seedlings in a given area this summer would you revisit the area next summer? Why?

37. How many resurveys may be necessary where fruiting bushes were found on original survey? (Discuss with reference to the distribution, viability, and germination of barberry seeds.)
38. What precautions should be taken when resurveying an area and especially a property on which seedlings and seedling bushes were found on the original survey?
39. Explain in detail the precautions you would observe in resurveying any area where fruiting bushes, but not seedlings, had been found.
40. What methods can be used on resurvey in locating barberries that may have escaped observation on previous surveys?

### ERADICATION

#### Mechanical

41. What methods of eradication have been used in the past?
42. What is the best way to destroy a single bush in a lawn? A small hedge between two city lots? Why?
43. What methods may be applied to large hedges in the country?
44. (a) Recommend methods of eradication for bushes in loose soil, rocky or stony ground, in heavy clay, in underbrush and shrubbery.  
(b) As a general practice, would you recommend mechanical methods of eradication? Give reasons, economic and otherwise.
45. Describe in detail the method of digging a small bush; a large bush. Describe the use of horses in pulling bushes; the use of the tractors.
46. Describe the proper way to attach a chain to a bush when using a crowbar to aid in pulling.

#### Chemical

47. What chemicals are recommended at present for use in destroying common barberries? Why was the use of sodium arsenite discontinued? Does chemical treatment dispense with the necessity of resurvey?
48. (a) When salt is used, what kind should be used and how should it be applied?  
(b) How much salt would it be necessary to use on a bush 1 foot across at the surface of the ground? Eighteen inches?  
How much salt would you apply to a bush of three small stems?  
How much to a clump of bushes ten feet in diameter? What is the smallest quantity necessary to kill even a very small bush?  
(c) Would you let the bush stand or cut it off? Why? At what times of the year may salt be most effectively and economically applied? What difference does soil make in the effectiveness of salt?

- (d) Would the treatment be effective if livestock were allowed to run in the pasture and scatter or eat the salt?  
How would you prevent livestock from scattering salt? From eating it?
- (e) Is there any danger to livestock or poultry as a result of chemical eradication with salt? How can this danger be reduced to a minimum? What would you do if cattle must run in a pasture where wild barberries have been salted and it has not been possible to cover the salt?
- (f) Should property owners be requested to furnish the salt?
- 49. (a) Has kerosene been used to kill barberries in your State?
- (b) If kerosene is used, how can it best be applied?
- (c) How much kerosene would be required to kill a bush one foot across at the surface of the ground? Eighteen inches? How much kerosene would you apply to a bush of three small stems? How much to a clump of bushes ten feet in diameter? What is the minimum effective quantity for a very small bush?
- (d) What effect would the kerosene treatment have on barberries if livestock were allowed to run in the pasture where the barberries were treated? Is kerosene in any way injurious to animals?
- (e) State the time of year when kerosene may be applied most effectively. Is its action on barberries quicker than that of salt? Should bushes treated with kerosene be cut off or left standing?
- (f) What are the comparative advantages and disadvantages of the salt and kerosene treatments?
- (g) What effect do salt and kerosene have on the soil under the treated barberry?

#### PUBLICITY

- 50. (a) Name three Federal Department publications dealing with stem rust and barberry eradication, giving their authors and numbers.
- (b) Name all of your State publications dealing with stem rust and barberry eradication, giving authors and numbers.
- 51. Prepare a short story that will give the essentials necessary to announce the arrival of a squad of barberry field assistants in any given locality.
  - (a) What sort of story or interview should be given for publication? What are the most important items to put into such publicity material? Explain the use of newspaper cuts and cartoons.
  - (b) Where would you place posters? Small demonstrations?
  - (c) How can you assist in promoting a campaign in the schools?
- 52. (a) With whom should you establish relations when first entering a county to begin a survey?
- (b) Where can you get maps or other information about roads? What business men can be of assistance to you?
- (c) Who do you think would be willing to give space for a window demonstration?
- (d) Discuss procedure in organizing a field demonstration if you find a good local spread of rust from barberry.
- (e) How would you organize a barberry bee?
- (f) Are farm bureau picnics or similar gatherings good occasions for outdoor demonstrations? Why?
- (g) What procedure would you follow in a community composed of foreign people who are unfamiliar with the English language?



53. With what civil officers would it be well to establish diplomatic relations when beginning work in a town or county?

#### GENERAL

54. (a) When was the barberry eradication campaign in the United States first started? Name the 13 States cooperating.  
 (b) When was the barberry eradication campaign first started in your State?  
 (c) Briefly discuss the law in your State compelling the eradication of the common barberry. What is the effectiveness of such a law? Has it ever been necessary to use this law in compelling the removal of barberry bushes?
55. Give the numbers of barberry plantings and bushes found in your State. How many counties have been covered? How many counties are yet to be covered in the original survey in your State? Second survey? Resurvey?
56. In what parts of the eradication area have the greatest number of barberries been found?
57. (a) How long since nurserymen in your State discontinued the sale of common barberries?  
 (b) Why are barberries not removed in the South?
58. Give briefly the history of barberry eradication in Denmark, British Isles, France, Germany, Norway, Sweden, Austria, etc.
59. (a) What authority has a Federal field man to enter upon and inspect properties for barberries?  
 (b) Under whose authority can barberries be removed?
60. What statement will you make when a housewife asks you if you are going to replace the barberries you have removed?
61. What would you do in case an owner offered to cut off his barberry bushes or sprouts every week and thus prevent the possibility of an infection?
62. How may the State keep barberries cut and be assured that all seedlings or missed bushes are destroyed?
63. Suggest a relatively cheap but effective means of finding the last harmful barberry in your State.
64. Why is it necessary to eradicate the common barberry even if a resistant variety of wheat is produced?
65. What are the indications in your State that barberry eradication will control stem rust? In neighboring States?
66. (a) What is the total acreage and production of all wheat raised in your State during the past season? Of durum wheat? Of bread and macaroni wheat?  
 (b) Name the principal bread wheat varieties grown in your State. Do the durums belong to this class?
67. (a) What is the estimated loss from stem rust in your State for each of the past ten years? What was the stem-rust loss last year?  
 (b) For the entire United States for the same period?
68. How would you answer John Jones, a farmer, who stated that the barberry field assistants found a hedge of common barberry next to his wheat field and the stem rust was no worse in his field than in other wheat fields in the same neighborhood?

69. (a) Suppose John Jones says that he observed a great deal of black stem rust in your State long before any barberries were growing; how are you going to answer him?
- (b) Explain why barberry bushes in close proximity to a grain field may be heavily rusted without rust occurring on the grain.
- (c) How would you make your explanation of the development of stem rust agree with the statement that hot, muggy weather after several cool, dewy nights causes wheat to rust?
70. Is a common barberry bush growing in a level country more liable to spread rust over great distances than a bush growing in hilly, wooded country? Why?
71. What are you going to say when the property owner says, "There is no grain grown around here, so why take out the barberries?"
72. Outline the steps necessary to prove to a doubting farmer that common barberry is responsible for the initial spread of stem rust in the north-central, grain-growing States.
73. What is the Conference for the Prevention of Grain Rust? What is its purpose? Where are its offices? Who is the representative of the U. S. Department of Agriculture located there?
74. Who are the following men, what is their connection with barberry eradication, and what are their addresses?
  - (a) Dr. W. M. Jardine  
Dr. W. A. Taylor  
Dr. C. R. Ball  
Dr. A. G. Johnson  
Dr. H. B. Humphrey  
Dr. E. C. Stakman  
Dr. F. E. Kempton  
L. D. Hutton  
E. B. Lambert  
M. N. Levine  
Donald G. Fletcher  
N. F. Thompson  
H. S. Smith
  - (b) What is the address of your State Leader?
  - (c) Name the personnel cooperating in the barberry eradication campaign in your State.
75. Discuss fully the responsibilities which a field man has to the institutions (Federal and State) which he represents relative to his conduct, thoroughness, honesty, friendliness and sincerity.
76. Give a good method of approaching a farmer when making a survey. What is the best type of diplomacy - introducing your subject directly or indirectly?
77. (a) By means of a drawing locate the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 6. How many acres in a quarter of a section?
- (b) How many square miles in a township? How many sections?
- (c) What is the average number of townships in a county?
78. Suppose there are two section 10's in a township. Barberries are found on one of these sections. How are you going to designate which one on your report to the Office?

79. What precautions are necessary in reporting a new barberry planting?  
What information is absolutely essential?
80. What educational cooperative plan should be carried on in your State to advance public interest in the campaign?
81. How much does your State appropriate for the eradication of the common barberry?
82. How do you employ your time on rainy days?

RELATED PLANT DISEASE QUESTIONS THAT EVERY MAN IN  
BARBERRY ERADICATION SHOULD BE ABLE TO ANSWER FULLY

(Note: As a rule, field assistants in barberry eradication should not discuss agricultural subjects or plant diseases other than those very closely related to barberry eradication. Persons asking for other information should be referred to the proper Federal or State agricultural authorities.)

1. Name and give distinguishing characteristics of the principal cereal diseases occurring in your State. Give latest approved control measures.
2. What are the dangers in the use of concentrated formaldehyde method with wheat? What strength of solution would you recommend?
3. What is Bordeaux mixture? How made? For what type of disease used?
4. What is the lime sulphur treatment? Copper carbonate treatment? For what plant diseases are they used?
5. Give the methods of potato seed treatment recommended in your State.
6. Give the life cycle, means of identification, and control for cedar-apple rust.
7. Give the life cycle, means of identification, and control for white pine blister rust.
8. How would you identify stripe rust? Has it been found in your State? May you expect to find it? (Reprint from Journal of Agricultural Research, vol. xxiv, no. 5. Stripe Rust (Puccinia glumarum) of Cereals and Grasses in the United States. H. B. Humphrey, C. W. Hungerford, and A. G. Johnson.)
9. (a) Give sprays recommended for the control of mildews on roses.  
(b) Give sprays or dusts recommended for the control of insects on rose bushes and such garden plants as tomatoes, squash, cucumbers and potatoes.
10. Name the hosts of fireblight. How is fireblight controlled?
11. What shrubs may be used to replace common and purple leafed varieties of barberry? Are they alternate hosts of plant diseases?
12. What is the annual production of each cereal in your State?
13. What is the importance of reporting plant diseases to the plant pathologist at the State Agricultural College?
14. How is flax wilt controlled? Flax rust?



A LIST OF PUBLICATIONS ON BARBERRY ERADICATION TO DECEMBER 31, 1925  
(An asterisk (\*) indicates Federal and State cooperation.)

1. Babcock, D. C. Are there barberry bushes on your farm? In Ohio Agr. Exp. Sta. Monthly Bul. 3 (no. 12): 374-376, 2 fig. December, 1918.
2. Ball, C. R. [The cooperative barberry eradication campaign.]\* In Proc. 2nd Ann. Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, November 14, 1922, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 12-14. [1922]
3. ----- [Progress of the barberry eradication campaign.]\* In Proc. 3rd Ann. Meeting Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, November 21, 1923, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 13-18. [1923]
4. ----- [Problems and progress in barberry eradication.]\* In Proc. 4th Ann. Meeting Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, Jan. 12, 1925, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 18-25. [1925]
5. Ball, E. D. Eliminate the barberry and increase the food supply. Wis. Hort. 8: 114, 115. April, 1918.
6. ----- and S. B. Fracker. The eradication of barberry in Wisconsin. Wis. Dept. Agr. Bul. 20, figs. 3-7. December 31, 1918. Reprinted in Wis. Dept. Agr. Bul. 23 (Rpt. Div. Entom. 1917-18): 44-56, figs. 3-7. July, 1919.
7. ----- and R. E. Vaughn. Pull the dangerous barberry bushes. Wis. Agr. Ext. Serv. Circ. 102: 1-4. March, 1918.
8. Baringer, J. W. The relation of common barberry bushes to the occurrence of black stem rust on wheat and other cereals in Ohio.\* Ohio State Dept. Agr., Div. Plant Indus. Bul. 18: 1-38, figs. 1-14. 1924.
9. ----- and W. G. Stover. Eradication of common barberry and black stem rust in Ohio.\* Ohio State Univ. Agr. Col. Ext. Serv. Bul. 18 (no. 13): 1-16, figs. 1-6. 1923. Revised February, 1924.
10. Beeson, K. E. Common barberry and black stem rust in Indiana.\* Ind. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Bul. 118: 1-8, figs. 1-7. June, 1923.
11. ----- Relation of barberries to stem rust of wheat.\* Results of Indiana survey. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. (In press)
12. Bulger, Raymond O. A report of the barberry eradication campaign in South Dakota.\* Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1923-24) 15: 65-72, fig. 26, 2 maps. [1925]
13. Coons, G. H. Results of the barberry eradication campaign. In Mich. Agr. Exp. Sta. Quart. Bul. 1 (no. 2): 59-60, illus. 1918.
14. ----- Barberry campaign.\* In Mich. Agr. Exp. Sta. Quart. Bul. 2 (no. 2): 74-75, November, 1919.
15. Curran, G. C. The battle with the common barberry. Northwestern Miller 122: 1139-1140. 1920.

16. Dickson, J. G. The barberry and stem rust in Wisconsin.\* In Wis. State Dept. Agr. Bul. 33: 144-149, figs. 23-25. December 31, 1920.
17. ----- and A. G. Johnson. Studies on stem rust in Wisconsin, 1918. Wis. Dept. Agr. Bul. 23: 56-60, fig. 8. 1919.
18. Faxon, R. The campaign against the common barberry. Ohio State Dept. Agr. Off. Bul. 16: 60-63. April-June, 1923.
19. Fracker, S. B. Barberry campaign.\* In Wis. State Dept. Agr. Bul. 33: 119-127. December 31, 1920.
20. Freeman, E. M. The common barberry an enemy of wheat. Minn. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Div. Spec. Bul. 26: 1-4, illus. April, 1918.
21. ----- The story of black stem rust of grain and the barberry. Minn. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Div. Spec. Bul. 27: 1-6, figs. 1-5. April, 1918.
22. ----- [Problem of the grain rust.]\* In Proc. 3rd Ann. Meeting Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, November 21, 1923, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 9-13. [1923]
23. ----- [Controlling black stem rust.]\* In Proc. 4th Ann. Meeting Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, Jan. 12, 1925, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 9-11. [1925]
24. ----- and L. W. Melander. Simultaneous surveys for stem rust: A method of locating sources of inoculum.\* Phytopath. 14: 359-362, 1 fig. August, 1924.
25. Gilbert, Henry C. Barberry eradication in South Dakota.\* Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1918-19) 10: 37-45, figs. 1-5. [1920]
26. ----- Barberry eradication in South Dakota.\* Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1919-20) 11: 36-40, figs. 1-2. [1921]
27. ----- Barberry eradication.\* S. Dak. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Circ. 33: 1-24, figs. 1-12. April, 1920.
28. Hosmer, R. J. Common barberry and black stem rust. Purdue Agriculturist 16: 67. February, 1922.
29. Hume, A. N., C. Larsen, and H. C. Gilbert. Destroy the common barberry bush. S. Dak. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Circ. 3: 1-4, illus. May, 1918.
30. Hutton, Lynn D. Progress of barberry eradication campaign in South Dakota.\* Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1920-21) 12: 25-29, figs. 1-2. [1922]
31. ----- Report of the progress of the barberry eradication campaign in South Dakota.\* Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1921-22) 13: 61-67, figs. 23-24, 26. [1923]

32. ----- A report of the progress of the barberry eradication campaign in South Dakota, 1923.\* Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1922-23) 14: 36-41, fig. 15. [1924]
33. ----- The harmful barberry--Destroy it.\* In Bul. Green Section U. S. Golf Assoc. 5 (no. 6): 126-128, 3 fig. June 16, 1925.
34. Jackson, H. S. The case against the barberry.\* Purdue Univ. Dept. Agr. Ext. Leaflet 100: 1-4, figs. 1-2. April, 1918.
35. Johnson, A. G., and J. G. Dickson. Stem rust of grains and the barberry in Wisconsin.\* Wis. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 304: 1-16, figs. 1-6. August, 1919.
36. Johnson, G. F. Common barberry is a menace to small grain crop. Ind. Farmers' Guide 33: 993. August 13, 1921.
37. ----- Common barberry takes heavy toll from grain crops, spreads black stem rust, a destructive disease. Indiana Farmers' Guide 34: 796-800. August 5, 1922.
38. Kempton, F. E. Progress of barberry eradication.\* U. S. Dept. Agr. Circ. 138: 1-37, figs. 1-4. October, 1921.
39. ----- Barberry eradication campaign, 1921. In U. S. Dept. Agr. Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 13: 279-280. November 30, 1921 [Mimeographed]
40. ----- Progress of the barberry eradication campaign. (Abstract) Phytopath. 12: 33. 1922.
41. ----- Progress in barberry eradication, June 30, 1922. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 14: 193-197. July 31, 1922. [Mimeographed]
42. ----- Progress of barberry eradication, 1922. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves., Cereal Courier 14: 402-409. December 31, 1922. [Mimeographed]
43. ----- Progress in barberry eradication. (Abstract) Phytopath. 13: 48. 1923.
44. ----- Report of progress in barberry eradication for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1923. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 15: 167-173. July 31, 1923. [Mimeographed]
45. ----- Progress of barberry eradication, 1923. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 16: 19-30. January 31, 1924. [Mimeographed]
46. ----- Barberry eradication as a method of reducing stem-rust losses of small grains. In Proc. Ann. Blister Rust Conf. 10: 36-60. 1925.

47. Kempton, F. E., G. C. Curran, and E. D. Griffin. Barberry eradication in Illinois.\* Trans. Ill. State Acad. Sci. 16: 198-209, figs. 1-4. May, 1923.
48. ----- and L. D. Hutton. Report of progress in barberry eradication for the fiscal year, ending June 30, 1924. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 16: 189-209. July 31, 1924. [Mimeographed]
49. ----- Report of progress in barberry eradication to December 31, 1924. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus. Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 17: 13-36. January 31, 1925. [Mimeographed]
50. ----- Report of progress in barberry eradication for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1925. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 17: 223-251. July 31, 1925. [Mimeographed]
51. ----- Report of progress in barberry eradication for the calendar year ended December 31, 1925. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 17: 415-443. December 31, 1925. [Mimeographed]
52. ----- and Noel F. Thompson. The common barberry and how to kill it.\* U. S. Dept. Agr. Circ. 356: 1-4. July, 1925.
53. Leach, J. G. Barberry eradication in Colorado.\* In 11th Ann. Rpt. State Entom. Colo., 1919 (Circ. 28): 42-46. August, 1920.
54. Learn, C. D. Save the wheat. Eradicate the common barberry, ally of black stem rust.\* Colo. Agr. Col. Ext. Serv. Circ. Series 1, 176-A: 1-8, figs. 1-3. June, 1921.
55. Link, Karl Paul. Effects of the method of desiccation on the carbohydrates of plant tissue. [Barberry]\* Jour. Amer. Chem. Soc. 47 (no. 2): 470-476, figs. 1-2. February, 1925.
56. ----- and Ernest R. Schulz. Effects of the method of desiccation on the nitrogenous constituents of plant tissue. [Barberry]\* Jour. Amer. Chem. Soc. 46 (no. 9): 2044-2050, 2 graphs. September, 1924.
57. Lungren, Ernest A. Barberry eradication.\* In 14th Ann. Rpt. State Entom. Colo., 1922 (Circ. 38): 11. 1923.
58. ----- Progress of barberry eradication in Colorado.\* In 15th Ann. Rpt. State Entom. Colo., 1923 (Circ. 43): 15-17. June, 1924.
59. ----- The progress of barberry eradication in Colorado.\* In 16th Ann. Rpt. State Entom. Colo., 1924 (Circ. 47): 75-76. June, 1925.
60. Melander, L. W. Common and Japanese barberry hybrids. Minn. Hort. 53: 81-83. March, 1925.



61. Melander, L. W., and N. J. Holmberg. Report of barberry eradication to December 1, 1924.\* Minn. State Dept. Agr. Bul. 40, 1 fig. [January, 1925.]
62. Melhus, I. E. Stem rust and the common barberry bush. Iowa State Col. Agr. Emergency Leaflet 35: 1-2, illus. April, 1918.
63. ----- Eradicate the barberry bush. Iowa Agriculturist 19: 18-20, 23, illus. April, 1918.
64. ----- and L. W. Durrell. The barberry bush and black stem rust of small grains. Iowa Agr. Exp. Sta. Circ. 35: 1-4, figs. 1-6. April, 1917.
65. ----- and L. W. Durrell. Cereal rust of small grains. Iowa Agr. Exp. Sta. Circ. 62: 3-12, figs. 1-11. November, 1919.
66. -----, L. W. Durrell, and R. S. Kirby. Relation of the barberry to stem rust in Iowa.\* Ia. Agr. Exp. Sta. Research Bul. 57: 233-325, figs. 1-19. January, 1920.
67. Morris, H. E. Barberry eradication. Rpt. Proc. Mont. State Hort. Soc. 22: 113-116. 1919.
68. ----- and W. L. Popham. The barberry eradication campaign in Montana: Its object, nature, and progress.\* Mont. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 130: 1-24, figs. 1-7. October, 1925.
69. Norgord, C. P. The eradication of barberry in Wisconsin.\* Wis. Dept. Agr. Bul. 20: 44-56, figs. 1-5. 1918.
70. O'Brien, H. R. The barberry pirate. Country Gentlemen 88: 11. May 19, 1923.
71. Pammel, L. H. The extermination of the common barberry to prevent crop leakage due to stem rust.\* Trans. Ia. Hort. Soc. 53: 401-408. 1918. (Also Iowa Conservation 2 (no. 1): 4-8. 1918.)
72. ----- The barberry in Iowa and adjacent States. Proc. Ia. Acad. Sci. 26 (1919): 193-237, figs. 52-82. 1920.
73. Pipal, F. J. The barberry and its relation to the stem rust of wheat in Indiana.\* Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 1918: 63-70, illus. 1919.
74. Robbins, W. W. Preliminary report on the use of crushed rock salt (sodium chloride) in killing the common barberry. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 14 (no. 17): 198-201. July 31, 1922. [Mimeographed]
75. ----- Spraying barberry seedlings. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 14 (no. 17): 202-205. July 31, 1922. [Mimeographed]

76. Robbins, W. W., and H. E. Vasey. Eradicate common barberry; it is an enemy of wheat.\* Colo. Agr. Col. Ext. Bul., Series 1 (no. 147): 1-8, September, 1918. (Reprinted as Colo. Agr. Col. Ext. Bul., Series 1 (no. 155-A): 1-8, figs. 1-4. February, 1919.)
77. Rosen, H. R. and R. S. Kirby. A comparative morphological study of aecia of four different rusts found upon barberries in North America.\* Phytopath. 9 (no. 12): 569-573, 1 fig. December, 1919.
78. Ruggles, A. G. Barberry eradication. Rpt. State Entom. Minn. 17: 7-8. December, 1918.
79. Schmidt, D. Eradication of common barberry is best black rust prevention. In Seed World 17: 9. January 30, 1925.
80. Schulz, E. R. and Noel F. Thompson. Some effects of sodium arsenite when used to kill the common barberry.\* U.S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1316. April, 1925.
81. Severin, H. C. Is there danger of South Dakota becoming restocked with the common barberry?\* In 15th Ann. Rpt. State Entom. S. Dak. (1923-24): 65-72. [1925]
82. Stakman, E. C. Banish the barberry and save the wheat. Amer. Assoc. Nurserymen Ann. Conv. 43: 41-46. 1918.
83. ----- The black stem rust and the barberry. U. S. Dept. Agr. Yearbook, 1918: 75-100, pls. 1-10. 1919. (Also Yearbook Separate No. 796: 1-28, pls. 1-10. 1919.)
84. ----- Destroy the common barberry.\* U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 1058: 1-16, figs. 1-6. May, 1919. (Revision, 1923.)
85. ----- Grain rust a menace in the Northwest. Breeder's Gaz. 79: 992-993, illus. June 2, 1921.
86. ----- Barberry eradication controls stem rust in Europe.\* U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 14 (no. 26): 334-336. October 31, 1922. [Mimeographed]
87. ----- Fighting rust in Europe.\* Proc. 2nd Ann. Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, November 14, 1922, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 23-32. [1922]
88. ----- European travels of a cereal pathologist.\* Ann. Rpt. Wis. State Hort. Soc. 53: 112-125. 1923.
89. ----- Why exterminate the barberry?\* National Miller 28: 42. March, 1923.
90. ----- Barberry eradication prevents black rust in Western Europe.\* U. S. Dept. Agr. Circ. 269: 1-15, figs. 1-3. April, 1923.

91. Stakman, E. C. [Connection between black stem rust and the common barberry.]\* In Proc. 4th Ann. Meeting Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, January 12, 1925, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 11-13. [1925]
92. -----, A. W. Henry, G. C. Curran and W. N. Christopher. Spores in the upper air.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24 (no. 7): 599-606, 2 pls. May 19, 1923.
93. -----, R. S. Kirby and A. F. Thiel. The regional occurrence of Puccinia graminis on barberry.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 11: 39-40. 1921.
94. ----- and L. J. Krakover. Puccinia graminis on native Berberis canadensis. Phytopath. 10: 305-306. May, 1920.
95. ----- and M. N. Levine. A partial report on the susceptibility and resistance of Berberis and related genera to stem rust.\* U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. Cereal Courier 15: 278-287. September 30, 1923. [Mimeographed]
96. Stevens, F. L. and H. W. Anderson. Protect the wheat crop. Eradicate the common barberry from Illinois. Ill. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Circ. 22: 1-4. April, 1913.
97. Swingle, D. B. A practical method of fighting wheat rust. Bien. Rpt. Mont. State Bd. Hort. (1917-18) 10: 74-79, illus. 1919.
98. ----- Barberry eradication.\* In 31st Ann. Rpt. Mont. Agr. Exp. Sta. (1923-24): 29. February, 1925.
99. Tehon, L. R. Progress in barberry eradication in Illinois during 1919.\* In Trans. Ill. State Acad. Sci. 13: (1920) 219-225. 1920.
100. ----- The habitat of naturalized common barberry in Illinois.\* In Trans. Ill. State Acad. Sci. 15: (1922) 151-164. 1922.
101. Thiel, A. F. Barberry eradication in Nebraska.\* Univ. Nebr. Agr. Col. Ext. Circ. 128, 16 p., 9 fig. June, 1925.
102. Thompson, N. F. Results of experiments in chemical destruction of the common barberry.\* Proc. 2nd Ann. Conf. Preven. Grain Rust, November 14, 1922, University Farm, St. Paul, Minn., p. 20-23. [1922]
103. ----- Eradicating the common barberry by means of chemicals.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 13: 48. January, 1923.
104. ----- Kill the common barberry with chemicals.\* U. S. Dept. Circ. 268, 1-4, figs. 1-3. March, 1923.
105. ----- Chemical eradication of the common barberry.\* U. S. Dept. Agr. Circ. 332. December, 1924.
106. ----- and J. G. Dickson. Fighting black stem rust of grain by eradicating the barberry.\* Wis. State Dept. Agr. Bul. 55: 1-28, figs. 1-15. May, 1923. (Wis. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 357: 1-28, figs. 1-15. May, 1923.)

107. Walker, W. A. Barberry eradication reduces grain rust losses.\* Wis. Dept. Agr. Bul. 52: (Bien. Rpt. 1921-22) 66-70, figs. 16-18. December 31, 1922.
  108. ----- Grain rust control. [Barberry eradication.]\* In Wis. State Dept. Agr. Bul. 69: 62-70, 3 figs. December 31, 1924.
  109. ----- and Noel F. Thompson. Black stem rust and the progress of barberry eradication in Wisconsin.\* Wis. State Dept. Agr. Bul. 68, p. 24, figs. 1-8. May, 1925.
  110. Wilcox, E. M. Dig up the barberry and control wheat rust.\* Nebr. Agr. Exp. Sta. Ext. Bul. 56: 1-16, figs. 1-7. March, 1919.
  111. Young, H. C. Kill the barberry.\* Mich. Nursery and Orch. Insp. Bul. 5, 1-4, figs. 1-7. May, 1918:
-



A LIST OF THE PUBLICATIONS ON BLACK STEM RUST TO DECEMBER 31, 1925  
(An asterisk (\*) indicates Federal and State cooperation.)

1. Aamodt, Olaf S. The inheritance of resistance to several biologic forms of Puccinia graminis tritici in a cross between Kanred and Marquis wheats.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 12: 32. January, 1922.
2. ----- Correlated inheritance in wheat of winter-spring habit of growth and rust resistance.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 12: 32-33. January, 1922.
3. ----- The inheritance of growth habit and resistance to stem rust in a cross between two varieties of common wheat.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24 (no. 6): 457-470, 1 fig., 2 pls. May 12, 1923.
4. Allen, Ruth F. Resistance to stem rust in Kanred wheat. Science 53 (no. 1332): 575-576. June 24, 1921.
5. ----- A cytological study of infection of Baart and Kanred wheats by Puccinia graminis tritici. Jour. Agr. Research 23 (no. 3): 131-152, 6 pls. January 20, 1923.
6. ----- Cytological studies of infection of Baart, Kanred, and Mindum wheats by Puccinia graminis tritici forms III and XIX. Jour. Agr. Research 26 (no. 12): 571-604, 7 pls. December 22, 1923.
7. Barker, H. D., and H. E. Hayes. Rust resistance in timothy. Phytopath. 14: 363-371. 1924.
8. Burrell, T. J. Parasitic fungi of Illinois. Part I, Uredineae. Bul. Ill. State Laboratory Nat. Hist. 2: 141-255. 1855.
9. Carleton, Mark Alfred. Cereal rusts of the United States: A physiological investigation. U. S. Dept. Agr., Div. Veg. Physiol. and Path. Bul. 16: 1-74, 1 fig., 4 col. pls. September 27, 1899.
10. ----- Culture methods with Uredineae. Jour. Appl. Micros. Lab. Methods 6: 2169-2114. January, 1903.
11. ----- Investigation of rusts. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus. Bul. 63: 1-32, 2 pls. July 12, 1904.
12. ----- Lessons from the grain-rust epidemic of 1904. U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 219: 1-24, 6 figs. 1905.
13. ----- A serious new wheat rust in this country. Science, n.s., 42: 58-59. July 9, 1915.
14. Dickson, J. G. Disease resistance as a factor in the control of plant diseases.\* Trans. Wis. State Hort. Soc. 1923: 123-131. [1924]

15. Durrell, L. W. and John H. Parker. Comparative resistance of varieties of oats to crown and stem rusts.\* Iowa Agr. Exp. Sta. Research Bul. 62: 27-56d, 7 figs., 5 pls. October, 1920.
16. Freeman, E. M. and Edward C. Johnson. The rusts of grains in the United States. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus. Bul. 216: 1-37, 2 figs., 1 pl. August 15, 1911.
17. Harrington, J. B. and O. S. Aamodt. The mode of inheritance of resistance to Puccinia graminis with relation to seed color in crosses between varieties of durum wheat.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24 (no. 12): 979-996, 4 pls. June 23, 1923.
18. Hayes, H. K. and O. S. Aamodt. A study of rust resistance in a cross between Marquis and Kota wheats.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24: 997-1012, 3 pls. June 23, 1923.
19. -----, John H. Parker and Carl Kurtzweil. Genetics of rust resistance in crosses of varieties of Triticum vulgare with varieties of T. durum and T. dicoccum.\* Jour. Agr. Research 19 (no. 11): 523-542, pls. 97-102. September 1, 1920.
20. ----- and E. C. Stakman. Rust resistance in timothy.\* Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 11: 67-70. 1919.
21. ----- and E. C. Stakman. Wheat stem rust from the standpoint of plant breeding. Proc. 2d Ann. Meeting West. Canad. Soc. Agron. 1921: 22-35, 4 figs. [1922]
22. -----, E. C. Stakman and O. S. Aamodt. Inheritance in wheat resistance to black stem rust.\* Phytopath. 15 (no. 7): 371-387, pl. XIII. July, 1925.
23. Humphrey, Harry B. Cereal diseases and the national food supply. U. S. Dept. Agr. Yearbook 1917: 431-495, pls. 70-73. 1918.
24. Hungerford, Chas. W. Puccinia graminis on wheat kernels and its relation to subsequent infection.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 7: 73. February, 1917.
25. ----- Rust in seed wheat and its relation to seedling infection. Jour. Agr. Research 19 (no. 6): 257-273, 1 fig., pls. 38-43. June 15, 1920.
26. Hurd, Annie May. Hydrogen-ion concentration and varietal resistance of wheat to stemrust and other diseases. Jour. Agr. Research 23 (no. 5): 373-386. February 3, 1923.
27. Hursh, C. R. The relation of temperature and hydrogen-ion concentration to urediniospore germination of biologic forms of stem rust of wheat. Phytopath. 12: 353-361, 7 figs. August, 1922.

28. Hursh, C. R. Morphological and physiological studies on the resistance of wheat to Puccinia graminis tritici Erikss. and Henn. Jour. Agr. Research 27 (no. 6): 381-412. February 9, 1924.
29. Johnson, Edward C. Facts contributing to the explanation of grain rust epidemics. (Abstract) Science, n.s., 32: 256. August 19, 1910.
30. ----- Methods in breeding cereals for rust resistance. Proc. Amer. Soc. Agron. (1910) 2: 76-80. 1911.
31. ----- Cardinal temperatures for the germination of uredospores of cereal rusts. (Abstract) Phytopath. 2: 47-48. February, 1912.
32. Lambert, E. B. and E. C. Stakman. Effect of sulfur on the development of black stem rust of wheat in a natural epidemic.\* Abstract in Phytopathology 1925; read at the meetings of the American Phytopathological Society, Kansas City, Mo., December 28-31, 1925.
33. Leach, J. G. The parasitism of Puccinia graminis tritici Erikss. and Henn. and Puccinia graminis tritici-compacti Stak. and Piem.\* Phytopath. 9: 59-68, pls. 4-6. February, 1919.
34. Levine, M. N. The epidemiology of cereal rusts in general and of the black stem rust in particular. U. S. Dept. Agr., Bur. Plant Indus., Off. Cereal Inves. [Document], 73 mimeographed pages. January, 1919.
35. ----- A statistical study of the comparative morphology of biologic forms of Puccinia graminis.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24 (no. 7): 539-568. May 19, 1923.
36. ----- and O. S. Aamodt. Physiologic evidence on the genetic identity of natural and synthetic strains of wild wheat. Phytopath. 15: 553-558. 1925.
37. ----- and E. C. Stakman. A third biologic form of Puccinia graminis on wheat.\* Jour. Agr. Research 13 (no. 12): 651-654, June 17, 1918.
38. ----- Biologic specialization of Puccinia graminis secalis.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 13: 35. January, 1923.
39. Mackie, W. W. and Ruth F. Allen. The resistance of oat varieties to stem rust.\* Jour. Agr. Research 23 (no. 7): 705-720, 2 pl., 1 fig. May 17, 1924.
40. Mains, E. B. Rye resistant to leaf rust, stem rust, and powdery mildew.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 15: 58-59. January, 1925.
41. Melchers, Leo E. The resistance shown by three hard winter wheats, Kanred (P762), P1066, and P1068, to plant diseases.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 10: 52. January, 1920.
42. ----- and John H. Parker. Three varieties of hard red winter wheat resistant to stem rust.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 3: 79. February, 1913.



43. Melchers, Leo. E. and John H. Parker. Another strain of Puccinia graminis.\* Kans. Agr. Exp. Sta. Circ. 68: 1-4. May, 1918.
44. ----- Inheritance of resistance to black stem rust in crosses between varieties of common wheat (Triticum vulgare). (Abstract) Phytopath. 12: 31-32. January, 1922.
45. ----- Rust resistance in winter-wheat varieties. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1046: 1-32, 11 pls., 2 figs. May, 1922.
46. Parmel, L. H. The most important factor in the development of rust. Agr. Sci. 8: 287-291. January, 1894.
47. -----, J. B. Weems and F. Lamson Scribner. Rusts of cereals. In Grasses of Iowa, p. 263-282. Iowa Geol. Surv. Bul. 1. 1901.
48. Parker, John H. A review of literature on the rusts of oats, with notes on their distribution in the United States.\* Trans. Kans. Acad. Sci. (1919-1921) 30: 71-113. 1922.
49. Peltier, George L. A study of the environmental conditions influencing the development of stem rust in the absence of an alternate host. I. The viability of the urediniospores of Puccinia graminis tritici Form III. Neb. Agr. Exp. Sta. Research Bul. 22, 15 p., 3 fig. 1922.
50. ----- A study of the environmental conditions influencing the development of stem rust in the absence of an alternate host. II. Infection studies with Puccinia graminis tritici Form III and IX. Neb. Agr. Exp. Sta. Research Bul. 25, 52 p., pl. 1-12. 1923.
51. ----- A study of the environmental conditions influencing the development of stem rust in the absence of an alternate host. III. Further studies of the viability of the urediniospores of Puccinia graminis tritici. Neb. Agr. Col. Exp. Sta. Research Bul. 34, 12 p. 1925.
52. ----- A study of the environmental conditions influencing the development of stem rust in the absence of an alternate host. IV. Overwintering of urediniospores of Puccinia graminis tritici. V. The period of initial infection of urediniospores of Puccinia graminis tritici on wheat. VI. Influence of light on infection and subsequent developments of urediniospores of Puccinia graminis tritici on wheat. Neb. Agr. Exp. Sta. Research Bul. 35, 11 p. 1925.
53. Rosen, H. R. and R. S. Kirby. A comparative morphological study of aecia of four different rusts found upon barberries in North America.\* Phytopath. 9 (no. 12): 569-573, 1 fig. December, 1919.
54. Stakman, E. C. A preliminary report on the relation of grass rusts to the cereal rust problem.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 4: 411. 1914.



55. Stakman, E. C. The present status of the cereal rust situation in the United States. Proc. 2d Cereal Rust Conference, Federal Dept. and Research Council, Canada. September, 1924.
56. ----- and Olaf S. Aamodt. The effect of fertilizers on the development of stem rust of wheat. (Abstract) Phytopath. 12: 31. January, 1922.
57. ----- The effect of fertilizers on the development of stem rust of wheat.\* Jour. Agr. Research 27 (no. 6): 341-380. February 9, 1924.
58. -----, A. W. Henry, W. N. Christopher and G. C. Curran. Observations on the spore content of the upper air. (Abstract) Phytopath. 12: 44. January, 1922.
59. -----, Gordon C. Curran and Warren N. Christopher. Spores in the upper air.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24 (no. 7) 559-606, 2 pls. May 19, 1923.
60. ----- and G. R. Hoerner. Puccinia graminis tritici compacti in southern United States. (Abstract) Phytopath. 8: 77. February, 1918.
61. ----- The occurrence of Puccinia graminis tritici compacti in the southern United States. Phytopath. 8: 141-149, 2 figs. April, 1918.
62. ----- and M. N. Levine. Effect of certain ecological factors on the morphology of the urediniospores of Puccinia graminis.\* Jour. Agr. Research 16 (no. 2): 43-77. January 13, 1919.
63. ----- The determination of biologic forms of Puccinia graminis on Triticum spp. Minn. Agr. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bul. 3: 1-10, 1 fig. July, 1922.
64. ----- Puccinia graminis on Poa spp. in the United States.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 14: 39. 1924.
65. ----- Puccinia graminis poae Erikss. and Henn. in the United States.\* Jour. Agr. Research 23 (no. 6): 541-543, 1 pl. May 10, 1924.
66. ----- and D. L. Bailey. Biologic specialization of Puccinia graminis avenae. (Abstract) Phytopath. 15: 35. January, 1923.
67. ----- Biologic forms of Puccinia graminis on varieties of Avena spp.\* Jour. Agr. Research 24 (no. 12): 1013-1018, 4 pls. June 23, 1923.
68. ----- and Fred Griffes. Webster, a common wheat resistant to black stem rust.\* Phytopath. 15: 691-698, fig. 1. November, 1925.
69. ----- and J. G. Leach. New biologic forms of Puccinia graminis. (Preliminary paper)\* Jour. Agr. Research 16 (no. 3): 103-105. January 20, 1919.

70. Stakman, E. C., John H. Parker and F. J. Piemeisel. Can biologic forms of stem-rust on wheat change rapidly enough to interfere with breeding for rust resistance? Jour. Agr. Research 14 (no. 2): 111-124, pls. 15-17. July 3, 1913.
71. ----- and F. J. Piemeisel. Biologic forms of Puccinia graminis on wild grasses and cereals. A preliminary report.\* (Abstract) Phytopath. 6: 99-100. February, 1916.
72. ----- Infection of timothy by Puccinia graminis.\* Jour. Agr. Research 6 (no. 21): 813-816. August 21, 1916.
73. ----- A new strain of Puccinia graminis. (Abstract) Phytopath. 7: 73. February, 1917.
74. ----- Biologic forms of Puccinia graminis on cereals and grasses.\* Jour. Agr. Research 10 (no. 9): 429-496. August 27, 1917.
75. ----- and M. N. Levine. Plasticity of biologic forms of Puccinia graminis.\* Jour. Agr. Research 15 (no. 4): 221-250, pls. 17-18. October 23, 1918.
76. Tehon, L. R. A preliminary report on the occurrence and distribution of the common bacterial and fungous diseases of crop plants in Illinois. Bul. Ill. State Nat. Hist. Survey 15: Art. 4: 173-283. November, 1924.
77. ----- and P. A. Young. Notes on the climatic conditions influencing the 1923 epidemic of stem rust on wheat of Illinois. Phytopath. 14: 94-100. February, 1924.
78. Thiel, A. F. and Freeman Weiss. The effect of citric acid on the germination of the teliospores of Puccinia graminis tritici.\* Phytopath. 10: 443-452, 1 fig. October, 1920.
79. Weiss, Freeman. The effect of rust infection upon the water requirement of wheat.\* Jour. Agr. Research 27 (no. 2): 107-116. January 12, 1924.

1.9	U.S.D.A. - B.P.I.		
P69Bq	Barberry eradication. [1926?]		
JUN 20 1935	F. C. Briggs	11/11	
	W. C. Briggs		
	W. C. Briggs		